

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/459,452	12/13/1999	TIMO TAPANI TOKKONEN	NC24603	1166
7590 10/22/2004		EXAMINER RAMOS FELICIANO, ELISEO		
BRIAN T. RIVERS NOKIA, INC. 6000 CONNECTION DRIVE IRVING, TX 75039				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2687	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/459,452	TOKKONEN, TIMO TAPANI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano	2687				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the \overline{c}	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ne 2004.					
		action is non-final.					
3)	·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-20 and 23-26</u> is/are pending in	the application.	,				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-20 and 23-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· •					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	• • • •	-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Ment Application (FTO-102)				

Application/Control Number: 09/459,452

Art Unit: 2687

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Amendment to claims 1, 10, 13 and 23 filed on June 30, 2004 overcomes previous rejection under 35 USC 112. Accordingly, previous 35 USC 112 rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 10-18, and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mizikovsky (US Patent Number 5,559,860).

Regarding **claims 1 and 14**, Mizikovsky discloses a method and apparatus for generating a reminder in a communication device (mobile station 10); see Figure 1. The apparatus includes an input device (for example keypad 42, audio input 16), at least one memory (46, 47), and a processor (40, 44, 36, 38; column 13, lines 19-24) as claimed.

The method includes a user generated reminder (text or audio), that will be presented, displayed, or played ("action" as claimed) to the user upon an external triggering event (incoming call that is received); see the abstract, column 6, lines 20-25, and column 8, lines 50-65.

In detail, the method includes:

Application/Control Number: 09/459,452

Art Unit: 2687

generating the reminder from user input (for example using keypad 42) and storing the reminder in the communication device (for example in memory 46 of mobile station 10); see column 6, lines 7-43.

Defining an external triggering event (for example an incoming call), and storing an indication (for example "selected telephone numbers corresponding to calling party telephone numbers") of the external triggering event in the communication device; see abstract, column 2, lines 31-37, column 4, lines 45-64, column 6, lines 20-25, column 8, lines 8-19 & 50-65, and column 9, lines 34-45.

It is clear that the triggering event (incoming phone call) occurs external to the communication device 10. Since both the indication and the reminder are stored in the same unit, they are "indexed together, once stored, in the communication device" as claimed.

Defining an action (presenting a pre-programmed response/message/indication/reminder, displaying a text message, or playing an audio indication) and storing an indication of the action in the communication device, the action associated with the reminder and the action, once stored, also indexed together with the triggering event and the reminder, thereby to be associated with the reminder; see the abstract, column 8, lines 1-20, and above citations.

Detecting at the communication device occurrence of the external triggering event (this is when an incoming call is received); see the abstract and above citations.

Performing, in response to detecting the external triggering event (incoming call), the action (output, display or play the pre-programmed message), associated with the reminder (text or audio), at the communication device (10); see above citations.

Since the reminder is text or audio and the action is displaying or playing the associated text or audio, the action "involves" the reminder as claimed.

Regarding **claims 2-5, 10-13, 15-18, 23-26**, Mizikovsky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see *claims 1 and 14*). In addition, as explained above Mizikovsky teaches that the reminder can be a text reminder (telephone number, name, organization, location, etc.) generated by user input at keypad 42; see column 4, lines 45-64, and column 6, lines 20-25.

The reminder can also be an audio reminder generated by user recording at voice input16; see column 8, lines 50-65, column 13, lines 1-8, *inter alia*.

The communication device includes a "triggering-event-detecting device" (combination of 36, 40, 47, 44) for detecting the occurrence of the external triggering event (incoming call); see Figure 1. As explained by Mizikovsky, "when an incoming call is received at the mobile station, the calling party's telephone number is compared with the stored telephone numbers, previously programmed by the user, to detect an incoming call from a particular calling party. Depending upon the response category assigned to incoming calls from that particular calling party, the selected response is initiated." – abstract.

As explained above the "action" can be to display the text reminder or to play the audio reminder; see above citations.

As explained above the reminder, the indication of the external triggering event and the indication of the action are stored in the communication device (see rejection of claims 1 and 14 above); see memory 46, 47.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/459,452 Page 5

Art Unit: 2687

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-7 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizikovsky (U.S. Patent Number 5,559,860) in view of Johnson et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,664,063).

Regarding **claims 6-7 and 19-20**, Mizikovsky discloses everything claimed as applied above (see *claims 1 and 14*). However, Mizikovsky fails to specify that the "reminder" could be a video reminder as defined by applicant.

Johnson et al. discloses a method for automatically reminding a remote communication device user of certain events. The user generates the reminder by specifying an audio segment or a video message reminder, as disclosed at column 4, lines 42-53, column 5, lines 15-31, and in the abstract. The advantage of a video reminder is that is provides enhanced and more comprehensive information for the user of the communication device, which is more attractive for certain consumers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a video reminder or a video reminding function as taught by Johnson et al. in Mizikovsky's communication device (10) because this would provide enhanced and more comprehensive information to the user; therefore better marketing possibilities for the manufacturer.

Application/Control Number: 09/459,452

Art Unit: 2687

6. Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the action involving the reminder) are not recited in the <u>rejected claim(s)</u>. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The limitation "the action involving the reminder" has now been treated on the merits as newly introduced by the present amendment. As explained above, in Mizikovsky, since the reminder is text or audio and the action is displaying or playing the associated text or audio, the action "involves" the reminder as claimed. For example, the action of displaying text involves the text (reminder), similarly, the action of playing audio involves the audio (reminder).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2687

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano whose telephone number is 703-305-0078. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. on 5-4/9 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 306-3016. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO PATENT EXAMINER

ERF/erf October 15, 2004

> LESTER G. KINCAID PRIMARY EXAMINER